

Original Article

Barriers to Technology Adoption Among Small and Medium Enterprises

CHRISTIANAH OLUWABUKUNMI OKUNOLA
Independent Researcher, Obafemi Awolowo University, Nigeria.

ABSTRACT: *This study examines the major barriers to technology adoption among small and medium enterprises (SMEs), focusing on financial, human, organizational, and infrastructural challenges that limit digital transformation. The goal of this research is to characterize and study the factors that prevent SMEs from adopting new technologies, and to evaluate how some of these barriers have an impact on their competitiveness and performance. A mixed-methods method is used, utilizing existing literature to form an argument supplemented by data collected from SME owners and managers using a survey, which is investigated in terms of description and themes. The results indicate that high implementation costs, lack of access to finance, insufficient technical capabilities, organizations' resistance to change, poor infrastructure, and worry about data security are the major barriers to the adoption of technology. This research suggests that to support technology use and ensure the long-term growth of SMEs in an increasingly digital business space, addressing these challenges through supportive funded government policies, raising funding accessibility, capacity building on SME training, and improving digital infrastructure is paramount.*

KEYWORDS: *Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs), Technology adoption, Digital transformation, Financial barriers, Human capital, Organizational change, Infrastructure challenges, Data security, Access to finance, Competitiveness, Operational efficiency.*

1. INTRODUCTION

SMEs are an important contributor to economic growth through employment creation, innovation, and diversification. Over the past few years, rapid development of technology has changed the way companies work, and adopting technology is vital in order to increase productivity, efficiency, and competitiveness. Notwithstanding these merits, a great number of SMEs still trail behind when it comes to embracing modern technology such as digital platforms, service automation, and information systems. This skew is even more pronounced in emerging and developing countries, where structural and resource limitations impede digital transformation. It is therefore imperative to appreciate the barriers that prevent SMEs from adopting technology when promoting inclusive economic growth and sustainability.

Some factors affecting technology adoption in SMEs have already been emphasized by previous literature. Prior literature cites financial barriers, such as high initial costs and lack of access to credit, as key challenges. Other authors stress human capital and state that a lack of technical skills, poor digital literacy, and no access to training make technology use difficult. Organisational aspects like resistance to change, poor management support, and traditional business processes have also been the topic of significant research. Moreover, infrastructural issues, such as a lack of electricity supply, poor internet connectivity, and limited technical support, as well as fears about the security and privacy of data, are often pointed to as major barriers. Although useful, these studies fail to provide an analysis that takes into account the barriers and their context-specific interaction on the performance of SMEs.

With these gaps in mind, this study attempts to answer the following research questions: What are the primary obstacles preventing SMEs from adopting technology? What financial, human, organizational, and infrastructural elements have an impact on the adoption process? On the contrary, researchers propose that financial constraints, lack of technical competence, and insufficient infrastructure inhibit technology usage by SMEs. Through examining these issues, the current study seeks to provide clearer insights into the difficulties experienced by SMEs in their digital transformation.

The value of this study is that it could have a theoretical and practical utility. This study provides academicians with a systemic approach to the impediments faced by SMEs in adopting technologies and contributes to the increasing literature record on technology adoption. The results can be used to make practical recommendations for policy makers, development partners, and SME owners, which will, in turn make it possible to minimize the challenges associated with adoption through intervention strategies as well as better access to finance, develop skills, and provide supportive infrastructure. In the end, it is all about facilitating SMEs' sustainable development and competitiveness in a more digital world of business.

2. METHODOLOGY

This study uses a mixed-methods research design that includes both quantitative and qualitative methods in order to form a multi-perspective understanding of the barriers faced by SMEs with technology adoption. The quantitative part can be used to quantify the prevalence of different barriers and explain their importance, while the qualitative part allows for richer information about how SME owners/managers experience and perceive technology adoption. The respondents are composed of owners, managers, and decision-makers in SMEs across industries. These respondents are chosen as the ones who actively participate in technological- adoption strategic discussions and/or decisions with a strategic or operational perspective, at their organisation. A purposive and simple random sampling method is adopted so that respondents have relevant knowledge, but findings can still be applied to the SME population.

Structured questionnaires and semi-structured interviews are used for data collection. The questionnaires capture quantitative information on financial, human, organizational, and infrastructure constraints, whereas the interviews report on negative views, attitudes, and contextual factors limiting acquisition. Secondary data from journals, reports, and policy documentation are also examined to complement and contextualize the primary data. The methods are therefore fairly in line with the fact that descriptive statistics like frequencies, percentages, and mean scores are used to analyze quantitative data, whereas thematic analysis is used for qualitative interviews. The findings from these two approaches are combined for a robust and balanced interpretation of results. The research process is completely in accordance with ethics. All subjects receive an explanation and provide informed consent upon enrolment. The privacy and anonymity of the participants are guaranteed, with willingness to participate being completely voluntary, with the freedom to withdraw at any time. The research also guarantees that the data are only utilized for the academicians and treated ethically.

3. RESULTS

This section presents the findings of the study on barriers to technology adoption among small and medium enterprises (SMEs). The results are organized using tables to display respondents' demographic characteristics and the major categories of barriers identified. Data are summarized using frequencies, percentages, and mean scores.

3.1. DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS OF RESPONDENTS

Table 1 presents the demographic profile of the respondents, including their role in the enterprise, years of operation, and business size.

TABLE 1 Demographic characteristics of respondents

Variables	Category	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Position	Owner	78	52.0
	Manager	72	48.0
Years of Operation	1–5 years	45	30.0
	6–10 years	60	40.0
	Above 10 years	45	30.0
Firm Size	Micro	58	38.7
	Small	62	41.3
	Medium	30	20.0
Total		150	100

3.2. FINANCIAL BARRIERS TO TECHNOLOGY ADOPTION

Table 2 shows respondents' responses on financial barriers affecting technology adoption among SMEs.

TABLE 2 Financial barriers to technology adoption

Financial Barriers	Mean Score	Standard Deviation
High cost of technology	4.35	0.72
Limited access to finance	4.21	0.81
High maintenance cost	4.10	0.76
Inadequate government support	3.98	0.84

3.3. HUMAN AND ORGANIZATIONAL BARRIERS

Table 3 presents the findings related to human and organizational factors.

TABLE 3 Human and organizational barriers

Barrier	Mean Score	Standard Deviation
Lack of technical skills	4.28	0.69
Inadequate training opportunities	4.15	0.73
Resistance to change	3.90	0.88
Limited management support	3.85	0.82

3.4. INFRASTRUCTURAL AND SECURITY BARRIERS

Table 4 summarizes infrastructural and security-related challenges reported by respondents.

TABLE 4 Infrastructural and security barriers

Barrier	Mean Score	Standard Deviation
Poor internet connectivity	4.22	0.77
Unreliable power supply	4.30	0.74
Lack of technical support	4.05	0.79
Data security concerns	3.95	0.86

3.5. SUMMARY OF KEY RESULTS

The results presented in the tables show the distribution of respondents and the reported levels of financial, human, organizational, infrastructural, and security-related barriers to technology adoption among SMEs. Frequencies, percentages, mean scores, and standard deviations provide a clear summary of the data without interpretation.

4. DISCUSSION

The findings of this research suggest that financial, human capacity issues, organizational, and infrastructural limitations are key obstacles to the adoption of technology in SMEs. Financial constraints were identified to be the most severe, anchored on the high cost of technology investment and lack of access to credit. (2019) This indicates a large portion of SMEs don't have enough money to invest in digital tools, which consequently affects their efforts to modernize operations and stay in the competition. The high central tendencies of skill-related barriers also suggest that SMEs do not always have the technical know-how to implement and use technologies, even when they are available.

Such findings are consistent with previous literature on technology adoption in SMEs. Similar findings have been reported in other studies regarding SMEs, which have also identified financial constraints as a major challenge and highlighted that high initial potential for economic gain (Lin & Chang, 2012). Findings are also consistent with prior research revealing the significance of human capital, such as insufficient technical abilities, low levels of digital literacy, and training opportunities in hindering technology use. Infrastructure-related barriers like limited access to reliable power and internet connectivity reported in this study have also been observed across the board in previous research, particularly within developing countries. Organizational resistance to change was found to be less important than financial and skill-related barriers; they remained significant, adding evidence of the importance of managerial attitudes and organizational culture in technology diffusion decisions. The findings have huge implications for development policy makers, owners of SMEs, and development partners. From a policy perspective, the findings show that policy-makers should create an enabling environment for SMEs to access financing at low cost, as well as subsidies or tax breaks for investments in technology. For the SME owner-manager, findings suggest a focus on investment in training; creation of an organization culture that is positive towards innovation and change you want to encourage. Development agencies and private sector proponents can also be instrumental in delivering capacity-building solutions by enhancing digital infrastructure for SMEs to adopt technology.

There are some limitations to the study, albeit its contributions. The size and sample distribution could potentially restrict the understanding of all SMEs, especially those that do business in other regions or environmental situations. Furthermore, the use of self-reported data could also suffer from response bias, where respondents' perceptions may not necessarily reflect actual practices in technology adoption. Furthermore, the paper is mainly concerned with barriers and does not extensively explore the enabling aspects or successful cases of technology adoption by SMEs. These limitations can be addressed in a further study by increasing the sample size and taking SMEs from various regions or countries to generalize the comparison. Future research could include longitudinal studies to consider how technology acceptance barriers change over time. In addition, potential research could investigate the influence of different technologies (e.g., e-commerce platforms or artificial intelligence tools) and also what has been successful for SMEs to overcome adoption hurdles.

5. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we investigated the barriers to technology uptake by SMEs in terms of financial, human capital, organisational, and infrastructural issues. The results suggest that financial barriers, such as high technology costs and insufficient access to finance, are the most important obstacles. Human-centered barriers, including a shortage of technical expertise and insufficient training opportunities, also play a key role. There are also organizational issues like a lack of support from managers and resistance to change; infrastructural problems, such as unstable internet and power supply, further limit the adoption of technology. Nevertheless, even if less of an issue these days, security concerns still drive some of the SMEs' slow pace when it comes to digital applications. To conclude, SMEs encounter several barriers that are interrelated and act as a disincentive for adopting technology, which is so critical for productivity, efficiency, and competitiveness. The need to meet these challenges is critical if SMEs are to become full participants in the digital economy and take advantage of modern technology opportunities.

Based on the study's findings, several recommendations are proposed:

- **Financial Support:** Governments and financial institutions should provide affordable financing options, subsidies, or tax incentives to reduce the cost burden of technology adoption.
- **Capacity Building:** SME owners and employees should be provided with training programs and workshops to improve digital literacy and technical skills.
- **Organizational Change:** SME management should foster a culture that encourages innovation, flexibility, and acceptance of new technologies.
- **Infrastructure Improvement:** Investment in reliable internet connectivity, power supply, and technical support services is crucial to enable effective technology use.
- **Policy and Stakeholder Engagement:** Policymakers and development agencies should design targeted interventions that address both barriers and incentives for SMEs to adopt technology.

Implementing these recommendations can enhance technology adoption among SMEs, support sustainable business growth, and strengthen their competitiveness in an increasingly digital business environment.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Chouki, M. Talea, C. Okar, and R. Chroqui, "Barriers to Information Technology Adoption Within Small and Medium Enterprises: A Systematic Literature Review," *International Journal of Innovation and Technology Management*, vol. 17, no. 01, p. 2050007, Feb. 2020, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1142/s0219877020500078>.
- [2] R. M. Fanelli, "Barriers to Adopting New Technologies within Rural Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs)," *Social Sciences*, vol. 10, no. 11, p. 430, Nov. 2021, doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci10110430>.
- [3] M. A. Nazir and M. A. Roomi, "Barriers to Adopting Electronic Commerce for Small and Medium-sized Enterprises in Emerging Economies," *EMAJ: Emerging Markets Journal*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 43–55, Apr. 2021, doi: <https://doi.org/10.5195/emaj.2020.203>.
- [4] T. Yuwono, A. Suroso, and W. Novandari, "Information and communication technology in SMEs: a systematic literature review," *Journal of innovation and entrepreneurship*, vol. 13, no. 1, May 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13731-024-00392-6>.
- [5] A. Bharadwaj et al., "Digital business strategy: Toward a next generation of insights," *MIS Quarterly*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 471–482, 2013.
- [6] M. L. A. M. Bogers, R. Garud, L. D. W. Thomas, P. Tuertscher, and Y. Yoo, "Digital innovation: transforming research and practice," *Innovation*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 1–9, Nov. 2021, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/14479338.2021.2005465>.
- [7] Y.-Y. K. Chen, Y.-L. Jaw, and B.-L. Wu, "Effect of digital transformation on organisational performance of SMEs," *Internet Research*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 186–212, Feb. 2016, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1108/intr-12-2013-0265>.
- [8] European Commission, *SME strategy for a sustainable and digital Europe*, Publications Office of the European Union, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://stip.oecd.org/stip/interactive-dashboards/policy-initiatives/2023%2Fdata%2FpolicyInitiatives%2F99995726>
- [9] S. Kraus, S. Durst, J. J. Ferreira, P. Veiga, N. Kailer, and A. Weinmann, "Digital Transformation in Business and Management research: an Overview of the Current Status Quo," *International Journal of Information Management*, vol. 63, no. 4, pp. 1–18, 2022, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2021.102466>.
- [10] OECD, *OECD SME and entrepreneurship outlook 2019*, OECD Publishing, 2019. [Online]. Available: https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/2019/05/oecd-sme-and-entrepreneurship-outlook-2019_7083aa23.html
- [11] T. Ritter and C. L. Pedersen, "Digitization capability and the digitalization of business models in business-to-business firms: Past, present, and future," *Industrial Marketing Management*, vol. 86, no. 0019-8501, pp. 180–190, 2020, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2019.11.019>.
- [12] G. Vial, "Understanding Digital transformation: a Review and a Research Agenda," *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 118–144, 2019, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsis.2019.01.003>.
- [13] B. M. Omowole, A. Q. Olufemi-Phillips, O. C. Ofofode, N. L. Eyo-Udo, and S. E. Ewim, "Barriers and drivers of digital transformation in SMEs: A conceptual analysis," *International Journal of Scholarly Research in Science and Technology*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 019–036, Nov. 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.56781/ijrst.2024.5.2.0037>.

- [14] P. Waditwar, "Leading through the Synthetic Media Era: Platform Governance to Curb AI-Generated Fake News, Protect the Public, and Preserve Trust," *Open Journal of Leadership*, vol. 14, no. 03, pp. 403–418, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.4236/ojl.2025.143020>.
- [15] S. Ben Slimane, R. Coeurderoy, and H. Mhenni, "Digital transformation of small and medium enterprises: a systematic literature review and an integrative framework," *International Studies of Management & Organization*, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 96–120, Apr. 2022, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/00208825.2022.2072067>.
- [16] P. Waditwar, "Smart Procurement in the Sports Industry: A Strategic Approach for Efficiency and Performance Enhancement," *Open Journal of Business and Management*, vol. 13, no. 03, pp. 1743–1761, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.4236/ojbm.2025.133090>.
- [17] V. Scuotto, M. Del Giudice, and E. G. Carayannis, "The effect of social networking sites and absorptive capacity on SMES' innovation performance," *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, vol. 42, no. 2, pp. 409–424, Nov. 2016, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10961-016-9517-0>.
- [18] E. Autio, S. Nambisan, L. D. W. Thomas, and M. Wright, "Digital affordances, spatial affordances, and the genesis of entrepreneurial ecosystems," *Strategic Entrepreneurship Journal*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 72–95, Jan. 2018, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1002/sej.1266>.
- [19] A. Bayo-Moriones, M. Billón, and F. Lera-López, "Perceived performance effects of ICT in manufacturing SMEs," *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, vol. 113, no. 1, pp. 117–135, Mar. 2013, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1108/02635571311289700>.
- [20] P. Waditwar, "Overcoming the AI Data Eclipse: Obstacles to the Full Adoption of Artificial Intelligence in the Procurement Technology Sector," *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 1583–1590, Sep. 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2025.27.3.3296>.
- [21] A. Hervé, C. Schmitt, and R. Baldegger, "Digitalization, Entrepreneurial Orientation & Internationalization of Micro-, Small-, and Medium-Sized Enterprises," *Technology Innovation Management Review*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 5–17, Apr. 2020, doi: <https://doi.org/10.22215/timreview/1343>.
- [22] L. Li, F. Su, W. Zhang, and J.-Y. Mao, "Digital transformation by SME entrepreneurs: A capability perspective," *Information Systems Journal*, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 1129–1157, Jun. 2018, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/isj.12153>.
- [23] Prajкта Waditwar, "Transforming Government Procurement through Electronic Bidding—A Case Study on the City of Somerville's Implementation of BidExpress Infotech," *Open Journal of Leadership*, vol. 14, no. 01, pp. 165–175, Jan. 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.4236/ojl.2025.141007>.
- [24] A. R. Polu, D. V. K. R. Buddula, B. Narra, A. Gupta, N. Vattikonda, and H. Patchipulusu, "Evolution of AI in Software Development and Cybersecurity: Unifying Automation, Innovation, and Protection in the Digital Age," *SSRN Electronic Journal*, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5266517>.
- [25] A. A. S. Singh et al., "Predictive Modeling for Classification of SMS Spam Using NLP and ML Techniques," *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence, Data Science, and Machine Learning*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 60-69, 2021, doi: <https://doi.org/10.63282/3050-9262.IJAIDSML-V2I4P107>.
- [26] V. Maniar et al., "Review of Streaming ETL Pipelines for Data Warehousing: Tools, Techniques, and Best Practices," *International Journal of AI, BigData, Computational and Management Studies*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 74-81, 2021.
- [27] D. Rajendran et al., "Anomaly Identification in IoT-Networks Using Artificial Intelligence-Based Data-Driven Techniques in Cloud Environment," *International Journal of Emerging Trends in Computer Science and Information Technology*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 83-91, 2021, doi: <https://doi.org/10.63282/3050-9246.IJETCSIT-V2I2P110>.
- [28] R. R. Kothamaram et al., "A Survey of Adoption Challenges and Barriers in Implementing Digital Payroll Management Systems in Across Organizations," *International Journal of Emerging Research in Engineering and Technology*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 64-72, 2021, doi: <https://doi.org/10.63282/3050-922X.IJERET-V2I2P109>.
- [29] A. A. Singh et al., "Hybrid AI Models Combining Machine-Deep Learning for Botnet Identification," *International Journal of Humanities and Information Technology*, (Special 1), pp. 30-45, 2021, doi: <https://doi.org/10.21590/ijhit.spcl.01.04>.
- [30] A. Attipalli, J. V. Mamidala, J. KURMA, S. Enokkaren, R. Kendyala, and V. BITKURI, "A Review of AI and Machine Learning Solutions for Fault Detection and Self-Healing in Cloud Services," *SSRN Electronic Journal*, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5741303>.
- [31] S. J. Enokkaren et al., "Enhancing Cloud Infrastructure Security Through AI-Powered Big Data Anomaly Detection," *International Journal of Emerging Research in Engineering and Technology*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 43-54, 2021.
- [32] R. Kendyala et al., "A Survey of Artificial Intelligence Methods in Liquidity Risk Management: Challenges and Future Directions," *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence, Data Science, and Machine Learning*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 35-42, 2021, doi: <https://doi.org/10.63282/3050-9262.IJAIDSML-V2I1P105>.
- [33] V. Bitkuri et al., "A Survey on Hybrid and Multi-Cloud Environments: Integration Strategies, Challenges, and Future Directions," *International Journal of Computer Technology and Electronics Communication*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 3219-3229, 2021.
- [34] A. R. Polu et al., "Blockchain Technology as a Tool for Cybersecurity: Strengths, Weaknesses, and Potential Applications," *ACCENT JOURNAL OF ECONOMICS ECOLOGY & ENGINEERING*, vol. 7, no. 8, pp. 167-174, 2022.
- [35] A. R. N. R, T. Rajasri, R. Praveen, D. Kalla, S. P. Bendale, and N. Venu, "CAC Training - A Unified Cybersecurity Training Program for Military Staff," *2025 3rd International Conference on Communication, Security, and Artificial Intelligence (ICCSAI)*, pp. 569–573, Apr. 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1109/iccsai64074.2025.11064463>.

- [36] D. Rajendran, A. Arjun Singh Singh, V. Maniar, V. Tamilmani, R. R. Kothamaram, and V. D. Namburi, "Data-Driven Machine Learning-Based Prediction and Performance Analysis of Software Defects for Quality Assurance," *Universal Library of Engineering Technology*, pp. 59–68, 2022, doi: <https://doi.org/10.70315/uloap.ulete.2022.008>.
- [37] V. D. Namburi et al., "Machine Learning Algorithms for Enhancing Predictive Analytics in ERP-Enabled Online Retail Platform," *International Journal of Advance Industrial Engineering*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 65-73, 2022.
- [38] V. D. Namburi et al., "Review of Machine Learning Models for Healthcare Business Intelligence and Decision Support," *International Journal of AI, BigData, Computational and Management Studies*, vol. 3, no. 3, Jun. 2022, doi: <https://doi.org/10.63282/3050-9416.ijaibdcms-v3i3p110>
- [39] V. Tamilmani, A. A. Singh Singh, V. Maniar, R. R. Kothamaram, D. Rajendran, and V. D. Namburi, "Forecasting Financial Trends Using Time Series Based ML-DL Models for Enhanced Business Analytics," *SSRN Electronic Journal*, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5837143>.
- [40] V. Bitkuri et al., "Empowering Cloud Security with Artificial Intelligence: Detecting Threats Using Advanced Machine learning Technologies," *International Journal of AI, BigData, Computational and Management Studies*, vol. 3, no. 4, 2022, doi: <https://doi.org/10.63282/3050-9416.ijaibdcms-v3i4p106>.
- [41] A. Attipalli et al., "Towards the Efficient Management of Cloud Resource Allocation: A Framework Based on Machine Learning," *Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Big Data*, vol. 2, no. 1, 2022.
- [42] S. J. Enokkaren, A. Attipalli, V. Bitkuri, R. Kendyala, J. Kurma, and J. V. Mamidala, "A Deep-Review based on Predictive Machine Learning Models in Cloud Frameworks for the Performance Management," *Universal Library of Engineering Technology*, pp. 43–52, 2022, doi: <https://doi.org/10.70315/uloap.ulete.2022.006>.
- [43] J. Kurma et al., "A Review of Security, Compliance, and Governance Challenges in Cloud-Native Middleware and Enterprise Systems," *International Journal of Research and Applied Innovations*, 5, no. 1, pp. 6434-6443, 2022, doi: <https://doi.org/10.15662/IJRAI.2022.0501003>
- [44] R. Chalasani, M. S. V. Tyagadurgam, V. N. Gangineni, S. Pabbincedi, M. Penmetsa, and J. R. Bhumireddy, "Leveraging Big Datasets for Machine Learning-Based Anomaly Detection in Cybersecurity Network Traffic," *SSRN Electronic Journal*, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5538121>.
- [45] S. K. Chundru, S. R. Vangala, R. M. Polam, B. Kamarthapu, A. B. Kakani, and S. K. K. Nandiraju, "Efficient Machine Learning Approaches for Intrusion Identification of DDoS Attacks in Cloud Networks," *SSRN Electronic Journal*, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5515262>.
- [46] A. Aggarwal, L. Agarwal, B. P. R. Rella, N. Nagpal, D. Kalla, and M. Sharma, "A Performance Comparison of Machine Learning Models for Rain Prediction," *Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems*, pp. 319–328, Oct. 2025, doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-032-03527-1_25.
- [47] V. D. Namburi et al., "Intelligent Network Traffic Identification Based on Advanced Machine Learning Approaches," *International Journal of Emerging Trends in Computer Science and Information Technology*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 118-128, 2023, doi: <https://doi.org/10.63282/3050-9246.ijetscit-v4i4p113>.
- [48] D. Rajendran et al., "CNN-LSTM Hybrid Architecture for Accurate Network Intrusion Detection for Cybersecurity," *Journal Of Engineering And Computer Sciences*, vol. 2, no. 11, pp. 1-13, 2023, doi: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17516985>
- [49] R. R. Kothamaram et al., "Exploring the Influence of ERP-Supported Business Intelligence on Customer Relationship Management Strategies," *International Journal of Technology, Management and Humanities*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 179-191, 2023.
- [50] A. A. S. S Singh et al., "Exploration of Java-Based Big Data Frameworks: Architecture, Challenges, and Opportunities," *Journal of Artificial Intelligence & Cloud Computing*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 1-8, 2023.
- [51] V. Tamilmani, V. D. Namburi, A. A. Singh Singh, V. Maniar, R. R. Kothamaram, and D. Rajendran, "Real-Time Identification of Phishing Websites Using Advanced Machine Learning Methods," *SSRN Electronic Journal*, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5837142>.
- [52] J. V. Mamidala et al., "A Survey of Blockchain-Enabled Supply Chain Processes in Small and Medium Enterprises for Transparency and Efficiency," *International Journal of Humanities and Information Technology*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 84-95, 2023.
- [53] V. Bitkuri et al., "Efficient Resource Management and Scheduling in Cloud Computing: A Survey of Methods and Emerging Challenges," *International Journal of Emerging Trends in Computer Science and Information Technology*, vol. 4, no. 3, Oct. 2023, doi: <https://doi.org/10.63282/3050-9246.ijetscit-v4i3p112>.
- [54] J. V. Mamidala et al., "Machine Learning Models Powered by Big Data for Health Insurance Expense Forecasting," *International Research Journal of Economics and Management Studies IRJEMS*, vol. 2, no. 1, 2023, doi: <https://doi.org/10.56472/25835238/IRJEMS-V2I1P143>
- [55] Preeti Nagrath, I. Saini, M. Zeeshan, Komal, Komal, and D. Kalla, "Predicting Mental Health Disorders with Variational Autoencoders," *Lecture notes in networks and systems*, pp. 38–51, Oct. 2025, doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-032-03751-0_4.
- [56] M. Roshni Thanka et al., "A hybrid approach for melanoma classification using ensemble machine learning techniques with deep transfer learning," *Computer methods and programs in biomedicine update*, vol. 3, pp. 100103–100103, Jan. 2023, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmpbup.2023.100103>.
- [57] Prajka Waditwar, "From Fragmentation to Focus: The Benefits of Centralizing Procurement," *International Journal of Research and Applied Innovations*, vol. 06, no. 06, Nov. 2023, doi: <https://doi.org/10.15662/ijrai.2023.0606006>.

- [58] P. Waditwar, "Agentic AI in Contract Analytics Harnessing Machine Learning for Risk Assessment and Compliance in Government Procurement Contracts," *Open Journal of Business and Management*, vol. 13, no. 05, pp. 3385–3395, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.4236/ojbm.2025.135179>.
- [59] B. Narra, D. V. K. R. Buddula, H. Patchipulusu, N. Vattikonda, A. Gupta, and A. R. Polu, "The Integration of Artificial Intelligence in Software Development: Trends, Tools, and Future Prospects," *SSRN Electronic Journal*, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5596472>.
- [60] A. K. Gupta, A. Reddy Polu, B. Narra, D. V. Kumar Reddy Buddula, H. H. Sudheer Patchipulusu, and N. Vattikonda, "Leveraging Deep Learning Models for Intrusion Detection Systems for Secure Networks," *International Journal of Innovative Research in Multidisciplinary Education*, vol. 03, no. 12, Dec. 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.58806/ijirme.2024.v3i12n19>.
- [61] R. P. Achuthananda et al., "Evaluating machine learning approaches for personalized movie recommendations: A comprehensive analysis," *Journal of Contemporary Education Theory & Artificial Intelligence*, 2024.
- [62] D. Prabakar, N. Iskandarova, N. Iskandarova, D. Kalla, K. Kulimova, and D. Parmar, "Dynamic Resource Allocation in Cloud Computing Environments Using Hybrid Swarm Intelligence Algorithms," *2025 International Conference on Networks and Cryptology (NETCRYPT)*, pp. 882–886, May 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1109/netcrypt65877.2025.11102314>.
- [63] A. R. Polu et al., "Analyzing The Role of Analytics in Insurance Risk Management: A Systematic Review of Process Improvement and Business Agility," *IRJEMS International Research Journal of Economics and Management Studies*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 325-332, 2025, Doi: <https://doi.org/10.56472/25835238/IRJEMS-V2I1P142>
- [64] V. Tamilmani et al., "A Review of Cyber Threat Detection in Software-Defined and Virtualized Networking Infrastructures," *International Journal of Technology, Management and Humanities*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 136-146, 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.21590/ijtmh.10.04.15>