International Journal of Economics and Business Management Research
ISSN: 3107-9423 | Volume 2 Issue 2, 153-161, April-June 2026
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64137/31079423/IJEBMR-V2I2P119

Received: 01/05/2026 Revised: 28/05/2026 Accepted: 02/06/2026 Published: 04/06/2026

Original Article

From Loose Oil Palm Fruits to Legitimate Palm Oil Value Chains: A Review

LOSO JUDIJANTO
IPOSS Jakarta, Indonesia.

ABSTRACT: *Loose oil palm fruits are often treated as a narrow operational concern at harvest, yet recent literature shows they are a broader systems variable that connects ripeness assessment, labour use, free fatty acid formation, mill efficiency, smallholder income, certification feasibility, and sectoral legitimacy. This article develops a narrative review of recent peer-reviewed scholarship, with emphasis on reputable journal publications from 2020 onward, to explain why loose fruits should be understood not as incidental residues but as a strategic node in the palm oil economy. The review finds that loose oil palm fruits contain valuable, recoverable oil, but poor collection, long harvest intervals, bruising, delayed processing, and mixed handling with fresh fruit bunches can degrade oil quality, particularly by increasing free fatty acid levels and reducing bleachability. At the same time, the problem is not purely technical. Smallholder yield gaps, labour scarcity, transport frictions, weak incentives, incomplete land legality, and uneven access to certified mills all shape how loose fruits are managed in practice. Recent evidence also suggests that better agronomy, shorter harvest intervals, targeted postharvest innovation, stronger farmer organisations, and more enabling certification design can improve both product quality and rural welfare without requiring further land expansion. The article, therefore, argues for an integrated approach in which loose-fruit management becomes a practical entry point for quality upgrading, inclusive intensification, and more credible governance in the palm oil sector.*

KEYWORDS: *Oil Palm; Loose Oil Palm Fruits; Harvest Interval; Postharvest Quality; Free Fatty Acids; Smallholders; Certification; Governance; Traceability; Sustainable Intensification.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Oil palm remains one of the most important agricultural commodities in the world economy because it produces the most widely used and versatile vegetable oil, supports major food and non-food supply chains, and provides livelihoods for millions of people in producing countries. In Indonesia alone, oil palm provides livelihoods for up to 8 million people, and smallholder farmers cultivate more than 40 per cent of the country's oil palm plantation area, making the performance of smallholder production central to both welfare and sectoral stability [1].

That broad significance is important because discussions about palm oil are still too often polarised between celebration and condemnation. The more useful analytical path is to recognise that palm oil simultaneously delivers very high land productivity and substantial rural income opportunities, while also facing continuing quality, legitimacy, and governance challenges. Recent scholarship makes clear that those challenges are not distributed evenly across the sector. Independent smallholders, in particular, often struggle with agronomic constraints, legality issues, market access, and certification barriers, even though they are essential to any credible strategy for inclusive and sustainable palm oil development [2], [3], [4].

Within that larger debate, loose oil palm fruits occupy a surprisingly underappreciated position. They are commonly used as a visible indicator of bunch ripeness and are also a recoverable source of oil that should not be left in the field. Yet recent work shows that loose fruits are more than a harvest-side detail. Their presence, abundance, cleanliness, timing of recovery, bruising history, transport conditions, and processing pathway influence oil extraction, free fatty acid formation, dirt contamination, and ultimately the commercial quality of crude palm oil. Loose fruits thus sit at the intersection of plantation practice and mill performance (Hadi et al. 2021) [5], [6].

The literature also shows that loose-fruit outcomes cannot be interpreted correctly without understanding the wider harvesting system. A smallholder does not choose a harvest interval, labour arrangement, or transport pattern in a vacuum. In Indonesia, long harvest intervals are often rational responses to low yields, labour scarcity, plantation accessibility problems, and the need to economise on transport costs, even though long intervals can increase loose-fruit losses and reduce the quality of overripe bunches. Likewise, incomplete loose-fruit collection may reflect not only worker negligence but also poor ergonomic tools, inadequate payment systems, poor supervision, or a lack of clear quality-segregation protocols further downstream (Monzon et al. 2023) [4].

For that reason, this article asks a wider question than "how should loose fruits be collected?" It asks how loose fruits can serve as an entry point to understanding and improving the quality, productivity, and legitimacy of contemporary palm oil value chains. The central argument is that loose fruits should be interpreted as a systems indicator. When loose fruits are poorly managed, the problem often signals deeper frictions across agronomy, labour, logistics, incentives, traceability, and governance. When they are well managed, by contrast, they can become part of a broader strategy for quality upgrading and rural inclusion [6], [7], [8].

The article is deliberately positive to neutral in tone toward palm oil because a large share of the recent literature does not support simplistic anti-palm narratives. Instead, it shows that many of the sector's most persistent problems are manageable when policy and practice focus on intensification of existing land, stronger support for independent smallholders, better agronomic management, more intelligent postharvest handling, and more inclusive certification designs. Replanting damaged plantations with smallholder-oriented strategies, for example, can improve several dimensions of the Sustainable Development Goals relative to leaving low-performing systems in place, while more robust support for independent smallholders can strengthen livelihoods without requiring additional forest loss [2], [9], [10], [11].

The contribution of this review is therefore twofold. First, it synthesises the post-2020 literature on loose fruits, harvest quality, and smallholder performance into a single interpretive framework. Second, it uses that synthesis to propose a more integrated way of reading the palm oil value chain, one in which field-level fruit management is linked explicitly to mill chemistry, farmer welfare, certification uptake, and sectoral governance. In that sense, the article aims not merely to describe loose-fruit management but to reposition it as a practical focal point for stronger palm oil systems [3], [4], [5].

2. A REVIEW OF LOOSE FRUIT MANAGEMENT IN OIL PALM PRODUCTION

Recent literature on loose fruits in oil palm can be grouped into four closely connected streams: ripeness assessment and harvest operations; postharvest quality formation; smallholder agronomy and profitability; and governance, certification, and market access. Read separately, these strands each explain only part of the loose-fruit question. Read together, they show why loose fruits matter to both estate efficiency and public legitimacy.

The first stream concerns ripeness and harvest operations. Traditional field grading still relies heavily on visual judgments by trained workers, using fruit colour and the number of loose fruits on the ground to infer bunch maturity. Yet this method is labour-intensive, time-consuming, and prone to misclassification due to tree height, lighting conditions, visual obstructions, and simple counting errors. It has been argued that unripe or overripe bunches reduce refining efficiency and oil quality, while newer approaches, such as computer vision and machine learning, offer potential for real-time in-situ classification. In parallel, it has been reviewed that loose fruits are themselves a core ripeness signal, but also notes that despite their value, actual field collection in many plantations remains highly manual and surprisingly little changed over time [5], [12].

This first stream already reveals an important tension. Loose fruits are simultaneously evidence of ripeness and a source of operational burden. If too few loose fruits are present, bunches may be immature. If many loose fruits are present but remain uncollected, recovery losses rise. If loose fruits are mixed with dirt and trash, later processing becomes more difficult. The harvest decision is therefore not binary but continuous and relational. It depends on synchronising cutting, field collection, evacuation, and mill intake to keep fruit condition within a narrow quality window. That broader systems logic is reinforced by de Vos et al., who show that long harvest intervals in Indonesian smallholder systems are associated with higher potential losses from missed bunches and ground fruits, even when farmers rationally choose those intervals to economise on labour and transport costs [4].

The second stream concerns postharvest chemistry and oil quality. A key contemporary contribution here is the identification of pivotal points in free fatty acid formation in the crude palm oil process [6]. Their review and experimental evidence show that bruising during harvesting, collection, and conveyor handling contributes to FFA accumulation, and they recommend stronger attention to the mill conveyor system, minimisation of bruising, and critically the separate treatment of high-FFA crude palm oil derived from loose fruits instead of blending it indiscriminately with standard crude palm oil. They also show that lipase deactivation requires sufficient temperature exposure, underscoring that timing and process control matter as much as collection itself [6].

That concern with timing is consistent with Hadi et al.'s work on delayed harvesting and oil quality. In their study, oil extracted from fruitlets detached from the same bunch over twenty-one days showed sharp quality deterioration: FFA increased from 1.3 per cent to 6.5 per cent, while the deterioration of the bleachability index fell from 4.32 to 0.86, and oxidative stability also declined substantially. Their findings are especially important for loose fruits because detached field fruits, being separated from the bunch structure, can undergo a different, often faster, pathway of deterioration when recovery and processing are delayed. The study therefore strengthens the argument that loose fruits should not be treated as a slow-moving side stream if mills aim to protect crude oil quality [13].

The third stream centres on agronomic performance and smallholder profitability. Monzon et al. show that the average yield in their Indonesian smallholder sample represented only 42 per cent of the attainable yield and identify nutrient management, harvest interval, weed control, and pruning as the most important management drivers of the yield gap. It has pushed the argument further by showing that better management practices increased annual fresh fruit bunch yield by about 40 per cent, added roughly 1.2 tons of crude palm oil per hectare, and improved profit by 20 per cent without requiring more land expansion [10]. These findings matter to loose-fruit management because they recast fruit recovery as part of a broader intensification package. Underperforming fields and long harvest rounds are not only symptoms of poor agronomy; they also create the conditions under which loose fruits are more likely to be lost, delayed, contaminated, or processed suboptimally [10], [14].

The harvest-interval literature sharpens that point. It has been reported that harvest intervals range from 10 to 39 days, with an average of 17 days, and about half of farmers follow intervals longer than 16 days [4]. Longer intervals, in turn, reflect both field conditions and economic calculations. Farmers with low yields may prefer longer intervals because they increase labour productivity per round and help balance transportation costs. This means that some loose-fruit problems cannot be solved by simple exhortation. They arise from structural constraints in farm economics, labour organisation, and rural logistics. Effective interventions, therefore, need to improve the profitability of timely harvesting, rather than merely instructing farmers to harvest more often [4].

The fourth stream addresses governance, certification, and market access. It has been reviewed that certification alone has delivered only limited sustainability upgrading for smallholders and that the literature has focused too narrowly on certification frameworks rather than the immediate concerns of smallholder livelihoods. It has been reported that 77 per cent of RSPO-certified independent smallholders in Indonesia are “former scheme” smallholders with clearer legal status and stronger prior organisation, meaning that the most vulnerable independent producers often remain excluded unless external facilitators are available [15]. It has been similarly argued that ISPO implementation has been slow for independent smallholders because requirements are complicated, funding is limited, and incentives remain weak [7], [15], [16].

Recent work goes even further by showing how market participation itself remains uneven after certification is introduced. It has been found that smallholders are generally open to certification and that training and cash premiums are especially important to their willingness to adopt [3]. Yet it has been demonstrated that independent smallholders without exclusive contracts remain underrepresented in certified mill supply chains, contributing roughly one-third of regional production but only 7 per cent of the certified mill supply [17]. This suggests that even when growers are willing, structural market access can remain the decisive bottleneck. Loose-fruit management enters this governance discussion because quality-undifferentiated sourcing systems make it harder to reward good field practice and easier for value to be lost through aggregation [3], [17].

Taken together, these four streams suggest that loose fruits are best understood as a “boundary object” within the palm oil economy. At the field level, they indicate maturity and harvest losses. At the mill, they affect chemistry and extraction performance. For smallholders, labour use and income realisation are influenced. For certification and governance actors, they reveal how much of the quality and legality agenda depends on transaction design, traceability, and organisational support. The literature therefore supports a holistic interpretation: loose fruits do not belong to a narrow technical silo but to the broader question of how palm oil can become more productive, more inclusive, and more credible simultaneously [2], [6], [8], [14].

3. METHOD

This article adopts a narrative review design with an interpretive policy-economy orientation. The purpose is not to estimate a pooled effect size but to synthesise recent peer-reviewed scholarship on the role of loose fruits within interconnected agronomic, quality, smallholder, and governance systems. A narrative review is appropriate here because the evidence base is heterogeneous in methods and objectives, ranging from laboratory work on free fatty acid formation to field agronomy, smallholder survey research, certification studies, and political-economy analyses of land conflict and legality [7], [18], [19].

The review prioritises reputable journal articles published from 2020 onward, in line with the user’s specification and the need to reflect the most recent debates. Core journals represented in the evidence base include *Agricultural Systems*, *Land Use Policy*, *World Development*, *Journal of Environmental Management*, *Nature Sustainability*, *Communications Earth & Environment*, *Scientific Reports*, *Agriculture*, *Sustainability*, *Land*, *Agriculture and Human Values*, and the *Journal of Oil Palm Research*. These outlets were selected because they collectively cover the technical, economic, and governance dimensions necessary for a balanced manuscript on loose fruits and palm oil systems [2], [6], [7].

In substance, the literature was reviewed through four thematic lenses. The first lens was harvest and ripeness management, including classification methods, harvest intervals, and field collection. The second was postharvest quality, especially the determinants of FFA formation, bruising, delay, fruit detachment, and handling segregation. The third was smallholder agronomy and rural welfare, including yield gaps, better management practices, replanting, and well-being. The fourth was governance and market inclusion, especially legality, certification, complaint systems, conflict, and participation in certified

supply chains. This structure reflects the dominant clusters in the recent literature and allows for the examination of loose fruits from plantation to mill to market rather than in isolation [3], [12], [14], [20].

The review also follows a constructive analytical principle. Because the manuscript is intended to remain positive or at least neutral toward palm oil, criticism in the literature is not ignored, but it is read diagnostically rather than polemically. In other words, reported deficits in legality, access to certification, conflict resolution, or environmental credibility are treated here as problems to be solved for the benefit of a more resilient and legitimate palm oil sector, rather than as evidence that the sector lacks developmental value. This reading is supported by recent studies showing that productivity gains on existing plantations, well-designed replanting, and stronger independent support for smallholders can improve outcomes without further land expansion [2], [9], [10].

A narrative review also has limitations, and those should be stated clearly. The studies considered here vary by geography, scale, methods, and production context, so the manuscript does not claim universal causal equivalence across Indonesia, Malaysia, and other producing areas. Nor does it claim that every problem associated with loose fruit has already been solved. Rather, it identifies a strong direction of travel in the post-2020 literature: the most promising pathway is integrated quality and smallholder support, not fragmented or single-point interventions. That limitation does not weaken the value of the review; it clarifies that the article is an interpretive synthesis aimed at theory-guided policy and managerial insight [18], [21].

4. RESULTS

The first result of the review is conceptual: loose fruits are not merely a residual by-product of harvest. They are a distinct value-bearing component of the crop, a practical indicator of bunch maturity, and a determinant of downstream oil quality. It has been shown that loose fruits on the ground help indicate readiness for harvest and need to be collected to maximise oil content during processing [5]. It has been added that loose fruitlets often originate from the outer layer of the bunch, which contains relatively more oil, making incomplete recovery an immediate economic loss rather than a trivial housekeeping issue [13]. In practical terms, every unrecovered loose fruit represents foregone oil, but every poorly handled loose fruit also raises the risk of degraded oil chemistry later in the chain [5], [13].

The second result is that loose-fruit management begins before the fruit ever touches the ground. Ripeness classification quality shapes the condition in which bunches and detached fruits enter the harvest process. Visual methods remain dominant but are vulnerable to misclassification because workers must assess colour and ground-fruit counts under imperfect conditions. This means that the abundance of loose fruit is an informative but noisy signal. A field crew can over-harvest, under-harvest, or misread a bunch because of height and visibility constraints. Newer computer vision and machine learning approaches offer a route toward more consistent maturity assessment, which could reduce both premature harvest and excessive over-ripening. The significance of this result is that better loose-fruit outcomes will likely require better maturity intelligence, not only better collection discipline [12].

The third result is that the harvest interval is one of the strongest bridges between agronomic management and loose-fruit performance. In the Indonesian smallholder, it has been studied that harvest intervals ranged from 10 to 39 days, averaging 17 days, with around half of farmers following intervals longer than 16 days [4]. Long intervals were associated with rational farmer responses to low yield, plantation area, labour access, accessibility, and fresh fruit bunch prices. The authors explicitly note that long intervals can lead to loose-fruit and missed-bunch losses and reduce oil quality due to overripe bunches. This is a critical finding because it shifts the explanation away from simplistic behavioural blame. When farmers harvest infrequently, it is often because the economics of more frequent harvesting do not yet work for them. Loose-fruit loss, in turn, becomes partly an income and organisation problem rather than only a technical harvest problem [4].

The fourth result is that loose-fruit management and broader agronomy are mutually reinforcing. Monzon et al. show that average farmer yield represented only 42 per cent of attainable yield and that nutrient management, harvest interval, weed control, and pruning are the largest drivers of the observed yield gaps. It has been demonstrated that better management practices can deliver around 40 per cent higher annual FFB yields, approximately 1.2 tons per hectare more crude palm oil, and 20 percent higher profit, while keeping labor requirements broadly similar [10]. This matters because low-yield systems make timely harvest and careful recovery of loose fruit less economically attractive, whereas higher-yielding systems make more organised field operations more economically viable. In other words, improving loose-fruit recovery is easier when agronomy is already moving toward higher productivity and farm cash flow [10], [14].

The fifth result is that delayed handling of loose fruits has disproportionately strong consequences for oil quality. Hadi et al. show a sharp deterioration in quality metrics over a 21-day detached-fruit collection window, including FFA increases from 1.3 to 6.5 per cent and steep declines in DOBI and oxidative stability. It has been identified that multiple FFA formation points in the crude palm oil pathway, and it is concluded that bruising during handling is a central concern, with the mill conveyor system representing a prime intervention point [6]. They also conclude that high-FFA CPO derived from loose fruits should not simply be blended with standard CPO. Taken together, these studies produce a highly practical conclusion: loose fruits are

more sensitive than often assumed to both time and process design, and value protection depends on rapid, differentiated, and minimally damaging handling [6], [13].

The sixth result is that technical innovation in fruit detachment and handling offers promising but still transitional pathways. Balakrishnan et al. show that postharvest ethephon application can induce loose-fruit detachment, with 0.50 per cent ethephon by evaporation producing the highest fruit detachment of 30.8 ± 1.1 per cent after twenty-four hours while maintaining low FFA in extracted CPO. Complementary work has found that exogenous ethylene treatment on postharvest bunches improves crude palm oil quality across several key metrics [22]. It has been further reported that optimised ethylene treatment conditions can enhance detachment and produce fresh, loose fruits that could be processed separately to reduce oil loss associated with absorption by empty fruit bunch [23]. These studies do not yet define a universally adopted standard, but they show that the field of loose-fruit management is not technologically stagnant. There are credible innovation pathways to reduce bruising, improve segregation, and shorten the quality-damaging interval between bunch arrival and oil extraction [22], [23], [24].

The seventh result is that mill practices still matter greatly even when field recovery improves. Code of good milling practice emphasises that continuous mill operation must still be governed by quality, food safety, and sustainability parameters [25]. That is consistent with the conclusion that mills should focus not only on receiving fruit but also on controlling bruising and, where appropriate, isolating lower-grade streams [6]. If estates or smallholders collect loose fruits more efficiently but mills then blend, delay, over-handle, or inadequately segregate them, a large part of the recovery benefit can be lost. Loose-fruit reform therefore has to be bi-directional: better estates need better mills, and better mills need better estates [6], [25].

The eighth result concerns the political economy of implementation. Smallholders are willing participants in quality upgrading only when incentives, legality, and support structures are credible. It has been. It has been shown that most certified independent smallholders in Indonesia come from groups with clearer legality and stronger existing organisations [15]. It has been found that farm training and cash premiums materially increase willingness to adopt certification [3]. It has been argued that slow ISPO implementation reflects complicated requirements, limited capacity, and limited funding [16]. These findings imply that asking smallholders to invest more effort in tighter harvest management, cleaner loose-fruit collection, or traceable quality segregation will not scale unless those demands are paired with tangible rewards, organisational assistance, and institutional simplification [3], [15], [16].

The ninth result is that market access remains stratified even under sustainability regimes. It has been shown that independent smallholders unaffiliated with specific mills accounted for one-third of regional production but only 7 per cent of certified mill supply, whereas contract smallholders were overrepresented at certified mills [17]. It has been cautioned that certification standards often fail to address persistent problems among smallholders, such as low incomes, low yields, and insecure tenure. This matters for loose fruits because traceable quality systems are easier to build within structured, contract-linked channels than in more fragmented, independent markets. If independent smallholders remain weakly connected to certified mills, the incentives to invest in precise management of loose-fruit quality will also remain weak [7], [17], [19].

The tenth result is that governance problems in palm oil should be specified carefully rather than generalised indiscriminately. Astuti et al. show that in Central Kalimantan, the vast majority of illegal plantations in state forests are large plantations, while illegal independent smallholdings constituted only 0.4 per cent. It has been described that Indonesia's ISPO implementation suffers from "low-functioning governance," especially at the regional and local levels [8]. It has been shown that conflict-resolution and grievance systems remain limited, with company bias and collusion undermining fair outcomes in many cases [20], [26]. It has been argued that stronger support for independent smallholders can secure livelihoods without more deforestation [2]. Taken together, these studies imply that governance reform should not equate smallholders with the sector's largest structural problems. Instead, a stronger and more legitimate palm oil sector likely depends on differentiating between large-scale legality failures, local governance weaknesses, and the developmental needs of independent producers [2], [8], [20], [26], [27].

The eleventh result is that recent evidence continues to support a developmental, rather than purely restrictive, approach to the palm oil sector. It has been reported that oil palm cultivation has increased smallholder incomes in prior studies [1]. It has been found that good agricultural practice is positively associated with both productivity and well-being among MSPO-certified independent smallholders in Malaysia [28]. It has been shown that replanting damaged plantations with smallholder systems in Sumatra has potential benefits across five SDG dimensions, including poverty reduction, health, education, environmental preservation, and crime reduction [29]. These studies do not deny the existence of significant sectoral challenges. What they do show is that improvement-oriented interventions within palm oil systems can deliver real gains. Loose-fruit management is therefore best framed not as a marginal corrective, but as part of a broader agenda of productive and inclusive upgrading [1], [28], [29].

5. DISCUSSION

The evidence reviewed above supports a straightforward but consequential interpretation: loose fruits are a strategic diagnostic variable in the palm oil economy. Where loose fruits are frequently missed, contaminated, bruised, delayed, or indiscriminately blended, the outcome is not only lower extraction efficiency. It also reveals a value chain in which field incentives, agronomic capacity, logistical coordination, and quality governance are insufficiently aligned. Conversely, when loose fruits are managed well, the result is not only better oil. It is also a sign that the plantation-mill interface is functioning more coherently. This interpretive move matters because it shifts loose-fruit management from a "minor operational issue" to a "system performance indicator" [6], [8], [14].

A second implication is that purely technical fixes will be insufficient, even when technically effective. Ethylene-assisted detachment, improved ripeness detection, or cleaner segregation technology can all contribute meaningfully, and the evidence supporting those pathways is real [23]. But farmers will not adopt a more precise harvest regime at scale if low yields and thin margins still make long harvest intervals rational. Mills will not consistently segregate lower-grade loose-fruit streams if procurement systems reward throughput more than quality differentiation. Certification will not function inclusively if the growers most in need of support are also the least likely to meet legal and organisational preconditions. The loose-fruit question is therefore inseparable from incentive design and market structure [3], [4], [17], [24].

A third implication is that a constructive palm-oil agenda should prioritise inclusive intensification on existing land. The strongest recent agronomic studies show that better management can generate substantial yield and profit gains without requiring new land expansion. That finding is important for both economics and legitimacy. If better harvest practices, nutrient management, field hygiene, and postharvest control can improve output and quality on existing plantations, then the sector gains a credible pathway toward higher productivity with lower pressure for expansion. In this respect, loose-fruit management is not an isolated housekeeping function but part of the practical architecture of sustainable intensification [2], [10], [14].

A fourth implication is that governance reform should distinguish between exclusionary control and enabling coordination. Some recent literature rightly highlights conflict, complaint bias, collusion, and low-functioning governance. But the most productive response to those findings is not a blanket narrative of sectoral failure. It is to build systems that reward good producers, improve traceability, and simplify compliance with legality requirements for independent smallholders while maintaining meaningful control over larger and more harmful sources of illegality. Astuti et al.'s finding that illegal independent smallholdings were only 0.4 per cent of the illegal plantation footprint in their Central Kalimantan case is especially significant here because it cautions against over-projecting responsibility onto the weakest actors in the chain. A credible palm oil governance strategy should thus protect forests and rights while also making lawful, quality-oriented participation easier for compliant smallholders [8], [20], [27].

The practical synthesis of the review is summarised below.

TABLE 1 Recommended Interventions for Integrated Loose-Fruit Management

Domain	Recommended Intervention	Expected Benefit	Key Implementation Condition
Ripeness and Harvest Timing	Shorter, more regular harvest intervals and improved ripeness assessment	Fewer missed bunches, fewer overripe fruits, lower loose-fruit loss	Higher farm profitability and labour coordination
Field Collection	Ergonomic tools, cleaner collection routines, and worker incentives tied to fruit recovery and cleanliness	Higher recovery of valuable oil-bearing fruits and lower trash contamination	Training, supervision, and realistic payment systems
Postharvest Handling	Faster evacuation and reduced bruising during transport and mill intake	Lower FFA formation and better DOBI outcomes	Estate–mill coordination and handling discipline
Quality Segregation	Separate processing or quality-differentiated handling of high-FFA loose-fruit streams	Better protection of standard CPO quality	Mill's willingness to create differentiated intake and accounting
Smallholder Support	Better management practices, extension, and replanting assistance	Higher yield, higher profit, and more feasible, timely harvesting	Access to knowledge, finance, and inputs
Certification and Markets	Training, premiums, cooperative support, and easier legality pathways	Greater inclusion of independent smallholders in sustainable supply chains	Local facilitation, traceability systems, and buyer commitment
Governance	Targeted legality enforcement, combined with enabling support for independent producers	More legitimate, less exclusionary sector upgrading	Better local coordination and differentiated regulation

Sources: Author's synthesis.

The recent literature strongly supports this synthesis. Shorter harvest intervals and stronger coordination across the supply chain are central to reducing harvest loss and improving oil quality. Better management practices increase yields and profits, making more frequent and careful harvesting more economically viable. Separate handling of quality-risk streams and reduced bruising are key to protecting FFA and DOBI performance. Training, incentives, and organisational support remain the most plausible routes for scaling adoption among smallholders, while market participation remains weakest for independent farmers unless chain actors deliberately include them [3], [4], [6], [10], [17].

This review also has a broader theoretical implication. Palm oil scholarship often distinguishes between technical upgrading and social inclusion, as though mills and markets belong to one conversation while legality and livelihoods belong to another. Loose fruits reveal how artificial that separation can be. A loose fruit on the ground is simultaneously a maturity signal, a labour demand, a recoverable asset, a quality risk, and a traceability problem. The same fruit can therefore be read by agronomists, chemists, plantation managers, mill operators, certification bodies, and political economists. Precisely because it crosses those boundaries, it offers an unusually practical site for integrative reform. Rather than designing a loose-fruit policy here and a smallholder policy there, sector actors would do better to treat fruit recovery and differentiated handling as a single operational meeting point between quality upgrading and inclusive development [2], [7], [21].

Finally, the discussion supports a cautiously optimistic conclusion about the palm oil sector. The problems identified in the literature are real, but they are not evidence that the sector is incapable of reform. On the contrary, recent studies consistently show that productivity, well-being, and governance gains are more plausible when interventions are grounded in the actual constraints of independent smallholders and the operational realities of plantation-mill systems. Loose-fruit management, precisely because it is concrete, measurable, and economically meaningful, offers one of the best places to begin that work [1], [28], [29].

6. CONCLUSION

This review set out to explain the wider significance of loose oil palm fruits in contemporary palm oil systems. The central conclusion is that loose fruits should not be viewed as incidental field residue. They are a concentrated expression of how well, or how poorly, the sector connects maturity assessment, labour organisation, collection discipline, handling speed, mill chemistry, smallholder incentives, and governance capacity. When loose fruits are lost in the field, damaged in transit, or blended without differentiation, the sector loses more than recoverable oil. It also loses product quality, income, and institutional credibility.

The literature from 2020 onward points to a coherent direction of reform. At the field level, shorter and more regular harvest intervals, better ripeness assessment, and ergonomic collection practices can reduce losses and improve the quality profile of fruit entering the chain. At the farm-system level, better management practices can raise yields and profits, thereby making disciplined harvesting more economically workable. At the mill level, reduced bruising, faster handling, and differentiated treatment of high-risk loose-fruit streams can protect crude palm oil quality. At the institutional level, training, farmer organisation, legal support, and better-designed certification incentives are necessary for independent smallholders to participate fully in higher-value and more credible supply chains.

A particularly important lesson is that the sector should avoid both romanticising and over-penalising smallholders. Recent evidence shows that the harshest structural exclusions often affect independent smallholders, even though they are indispensable to rural development and to any politically durable sustainability transition. More robust support for independent smallholders can improve livelihoods without necessitating further deforestation, and recent evidence on replanting and improved management shows that substantial gains remain available on existing plantations. This makes a positive developmental strategy for palm oil both economically sensible and institutionally defensible.

For future research, three avenues stand out. First, more empirical work is needed on commercial-scale segregation of loose-fruit streams and the economics of differentiated pricing. Second, there is room for paired estate–mill studies that jointly measure field recovery, bruising intensity, transport timing, and refined oil outcomes. Third, certification and traceability studies should pay more attention to fruit-quality practices, not only land legality and documentation. The evidence base is already strong enough, however, to justify one practical proposition now: if stakeholders want a palm oil sector that is more productive, more inclusive, and more credible, loose-fruit management is one of the most actionable avenues for intervention.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The author declares that there is no conflict of interest concerning the publication of this paper.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to express sincere appreciation to IPOSS Jakarta, Indonesia, for providing a conducive environment that supported the finalisation of this paper. The views and opinions expressed in this article are solely those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views or opinions of IPOSS Jakarta, Indonesia.

REFERENCES

[1] Dienda Hendrawan, D. Chrisendo, and O. Musshoff, "Strengthening oil palm smallholder farmers' resilience to future industrial challenges," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 14, no. 1, May 2024, doi: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-62426-z.

[2] T. M. Li, "Securing oil palm smallholder livelihoods without more deforestation in Indonesia," *Nature Sustainability*, pp. 1–7, Feb. 2024, doi: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-024-01279-w.

[3] C. Reich and O. Musshoff, "Oil palm smallholders and the road to certification: Insights from Indonesia," *Journal of environmental management*, vol. 375, p. 124303, Feb. 2025, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2025.124303.

[4] R. Elisabeth *et al.*, "Shortening harvest interval, reaping benefits? A study on harvest practices in oil palm smallholder farming systems in Indonesia," *Agricultural Systems*, vol. 211, pp. 103753–103753, Oct. 2023, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2023.103753.

[5] M. R. KHALID, "MECHANISING OIL PALM LOOSE FRUITS COLLECTION – A REVIEW," *Journal of Oil Palm Research*, Sep. 2020, doi: https://doi.org/10.21894/jopr.2020.0069.

[6] Bee Aik Tan *et al.*, "Free Fatty Acid Formation Points in Palm Oil Processing and the Impact on Oil Quality," *Agriculture*, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 957–957, Apr. 2023, doi: https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture13050957.

[7] Z. Ogahara, K. Jespersen, I. Theilade, and M. R. Nielsen, "Review of smallholder palm oil sustainability reveals limited positive impacts and identifies key implementation and knowledge gaps," *Land Use Policy*, vol. 120, p. 106258, Sep. 2022, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2022.106258.

[8] E. I. K. Putri *et al.*, "The Oil Palm Governance: Challenges of Sustainability Policy in Indonesia," *Sustainability*, vol. 14, no. 3, p. 1820, Feb. 2022, doi: https://doi.org/10.3390/su14031820.

[9] A. Fosch *et al.*, "Replanting unproductive palm oil with smallholder plantations can help achieve Sustainable Development Goals in Sumatra, Indonesia," *Communications Earth & Environment*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 1–12, Oct. 2023, doi: https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-023-01037-4.

[10] H. Sugianto *et al.*, "Improving yield and profit in smallholder oil palm fields through better agronomy," *Agricultural Systems*, vol. 224, p. 104269, Feb. 2025, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2025.104269.

[11] Ketut Sukiyono *et al.*, "The Contribution of Oil Palm Smallholders Farms to the Implementation of the Sustainable Development Goals-Measurement Attempt," *Sustainability*, vol. 14, no. 11, pp. 6843–6843, Jun. 2022, doi: https://doi.org/10.3390/su14116843.

[12] M. Yasser, K. D. Dambul, and K. Y. Choo, "A review of non-destructive ripeness classification techniques for oil palm fresh fruit bunches - Agris UPM," *Upm.edu.my*, Dec. 2023, doi: https://doi.org/Mohamed%20Yasser%20Mohamed%20Ahmed%20Mansour%20and%20Katrina%20D.%20Dambul%20and%20Choo,%20Kan%20Yeep%20%20(2023)%20A%20review%20of%20non-destructive%20ripeness%20classification%20techniques%20for%20oil%20palm%20fresh%20fruit%20bunches.%20%20Journal%20of%20Oil%20Palm%20Research%20(Malaysia),%2035%20(4).%20%20pp.%20543-554.%20%20ISSN%202811-4701.

[13] N. ABDUL HADI, "THE EFFECT OF MICROWAVE TREATMENT AND DELAYED HARVESTING ON OIL PALM FRUITLETS (Elaeis guineensis) OIL QUALITY," *Journal of Oil Palm Research*, Apr. 2021, doi: https://doi.org/10.21894/jopr.2021.0015.

[14] J. P. Monzon *et al.*, "Agronomy explains large yield gaps in smallholder oil palm fields," *Agricultural Systems*, vol. 210, pp. 103689–103689, Jun. 2023, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2023.103689.

[15] R. E. de Vos, A. Suwarno, M. Slingerland, P. J. van der Meer, and J. M. Lucey, "Pre-certification conditions of independent oil palm smallholders in Indonesia. Assessing prospects for RSPO certification," *Land Use Policy*, vol. 130, p. 106660, Jul. 2023, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2023.106660.

[16] Eusebius Pantja Pramudya *et al.*, "Incentives for Palm Oil Smallholders in Mandatory Certification in Indonesia," *Land*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 576–576, Apr. 2022, doi: https://doi.org/10.3390/land11040576.

[17] Andini Desita Ekaputri, David, R. Heilmayr, and K. M. Carlson, "Uneven participation of independent and contract smallholders in certified palm oil mill markets in Indonesia," *Communications Earth & Environment*, vol. 6, no. 1, Aug. 2025, doi: https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-025-02683-6.

[18] H. Petri *et al.*, "Replanting challenges among Indonesian oil palm smallholders: a narrative review," *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, Jul. 2023, doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-023-03527-

[19] T. Santika *et al.*, "Impact of palm oil sustainability certification on village well-being and poverty in Indonesia," *Nature Sustainability*, vol. 4, Nov. 2020, doi: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-020-00630-1.

[20] A. Afrizal, O. Hospes, W. Berenschot, A. Dhiaulhaq, R. Adriana, and E. Poetry, "Unequal access to justice: an evaluation of RSPO's capacity to resolve palm oil conflicts in Indonesia," *Agriculture and Human Values*, vol. 40, Oct. 2022, doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10460-022-10360-z.

[21] I. Jelsma, None Turinah, F. Gay, J. Ollivier, and B. Rapidel, "Collective action, replanting and resilience; Key lessons from 40 years of smallholder oil palm cultivation in the Ophir plantation, Indonesia," *Agricultural Systems*, vol. 213, pp. 103801–103801, Nov. 2023, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2023.103801.

[22] C. L. Chew, B. A. Tan, J. Y. S. Low, N. I. N. Mohd Hakimi, S. F. Kua, and C. M. Lim, "Exogenous ethylene application on postharvest oil palm fruit bunches improves crude palm oil quality," *Food Science & Nutrition*, vol. 9, no. 10, pp. 5335–5343, Aug. 2021, doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/fsn3.2423.

[23] M. I. S. ZAKARIA, "OPTIMISATION OF ETHYLENE TREATMENT CONDITIONS FOR OIL PALM FRUIT DETACHMENT USING RESPONSE SURFACE METHODOLOGY," *Journal of Oil Palm Research*, Aug. 2022, doi: https://doi.org/10.21894/jopr.2022.0040.

[24] A. Balakrishnan *et al.*, "Postharvest Fruit Detachment in Oil Palm Bunches with Ethephon and Ethylene Gas Application," *Agriculture*, vol. 11, no. 11, p. 1030, Oct. 2021, doi: https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture11111030.

[25] N. F. MOHD SHUKRI, "CODE OF GOOD MILLING PRACTICE IN ENHANCING SUSTAINABLE PALM OIL PRODUCTION," *Journal of Oil Palm Research*, Sep. 2020, doi: https://doi.org/10.21894/jopr.2020.0055.

[26] W. Berenschot, A. Dhiaulhaq, Afrizal, O. Hospes, R. Adriana, and E. Poetry, "Anti-Corporate Activism and Collusion: The Contentious Politics of Palm Oil Expansion in Indonesia," *Geoforum*, vol. 131, pp. 39–49, May 2022, doi:

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2022.03.002.
[27] R. Astuti *et al.*, "Making illegality visible: The governance dilemmas created by visualising illegal palm oil plantations in Central Kalimantan, Indonesia," *Land Use Policy*, vol. 114, p. 105942, Mar. 2022, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2021.105942.
[28] N. A. binti Mohd Suib *et al.*, "The Influence of Good Agricultural Practice (GAP) on the Productivity and Well-Being of Malaysian Sustainable Palm Oil (MSPO)-Certified Independent Smallholders in Malaysia," *Agriculture*, vol. 13, no. 5, p. 990, May 2023, doi: https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture13050990.
[29] A. Fosch *et al.*, "Replanting unproductive palm oil with smallholder plantations can help achieve Sustainable Development Goals in Sumatra, Indonesia," *Communications Earth & Environment*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 1–12, Oct. 2023, doi: https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-023-01037-4.